# CS3230 – Design and Analysis of Algorithms<br>
(S1 AY2024/25)<br>
Letwe Ab Austase Gas Analysis of Quick Sert (S1 AY2024/25)

#### Lecture 4b: Average-Case Analysis of Quick Sort

• Input: an array  $A[1..n]$  of n elements.

#### • Partition:

- Select a number in  $A[1..n]$  as the pivot.
- Rearrange the array to satisfy the condition:  $A = \lceil . \rceil$



- Recursion:
	- Recursively sort  $A_S$  and  $A_L$ .

• Input: an array  $A[1..n]$  of n elements.

#### • Partition:

- Select a number in  $A[1..n]$  as the pivot.
- Rearrange the array to satisfy the condition:  $A = \lceil . \rceil$



- Recursion:
	- Recursively sort  $A_S$  and  $A_L$ .

It is common to choose the <u>first element</u> as the pivot: **pivot** ←  $A[1]$ .

• Input: an array  $A[1..n]$  of n elements.

#### • Partition:

- Select a number in  $A[1..n]$  as the pivot.
- Rearrange the array to satisfy the condition:  $A = \lceil$



- Recursion:
	- Recursively sort  $A_S$  and  $A_L$ .

• Input: an array  $A[1..n]$  of n elements.



VisuAlgo (Quick sort): https://visualgo.net/en/sorting?mode=Quick

#### Quick sort  $T(n)$  time

• Input: an array  $A[1..n]$  of n elements.

## • Partition: • Select a number in  $A[1..n]$  as the **pivot**. • Rearrange the array to satisfy the condition:  $A = \lceil . \rceil$ . ∀ ∈ ௌ  $A_S$   $A_L$ <br>  $\dots \dots \dots \dots \text{pivot}$   $A_L$ <br>  $\downarrow x \leq \text{pivot}$   $\forall x \in A_L, x \geq \text{pivot}$  $S$  and  $H_L$ A<br>  $\begin{array}{ccc}\n & A_L \\
 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 & \forall x \in A_L, x \geq \text{pivot}\n\end{array}$  $\begin{cases} 4_L \\ \dots \\ x \ge \text{pivot} \end{cases}$  $\Theta(n)$  time  $\forall x \in A_S, x \leq$  pivot an array  $A[1, n]$  of  $n$  elements.<br>
on:<br>
ct a number in  $A[1, n]$  as the pivot.<br>
<br>  $\begin{array}{ccc}\n & A_S & A_L \\
\hline\n\Theta(n) \text{ time} & \forall x \in A_{s,x} \leq \text{pivot}} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 & & \Theta(n) \text{ time} & \forall x \in A_{s,x} \leq \text{pivot}} & \forall x \in A_{L,x} \geq \text{pi} \\
 & & \text{for } X \in A_{L,x} \geq \text{point} & \forall x$

#### • Recursion:

• Recursively sort  $A_S$  and  $A_L$ .

Assume that all elements are distinct.

VisuAlgo (Quick sort): https://visualgo.net/en/sorting?mode=Quick

#### Recurrence

- **Recurrence**<br>• Suppose **pivot** is the *j*th smallest element.<br>•  $T(n) = T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn$ 
	-

#### Worst-case running time

- -
- Intuition: Worst case seems to be  $j = 1$  or  $j = n$ .
	- $T(n) = T(0) + T(n-1) + cn \in \Theta(n^2)$
	- $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$



VisuAlgo (Worst-case analysis of quick sort): https://visualgo.net/en/recursion?example=QuickSort

# A more formal proof A more formal proof<br>• Suppose **pivot** is the *j*th smallest element.<br>•  $T(n) = T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn$ more formal proof<br>ppose pivot is the *j*th smallest element.<br>
•  $T(n) = T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn$ <br>
• Ouess  $T(r) \le \max_{j \in [n]} \{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn\}$   $\triangleright \begin{array}{|l|} \hline T(n) \end{array}$ <br>
• Guess  $T(r) \le c_1 r^2$  and prove it by induction.<br>
• Base case:  $\overline$ **The proper proof**<br> **Phonon proper proof**<br> **Phonon Equally Set in Smallest element.**<br> **Phonon Equally 1**  $T(n) = T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn$ <br> **Phonon Equally 1**  $T(n) \leq \frac{m}{f(n)}[T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn]$ <br> **C** Guess  $T(r) \leq c_1 r^2$  and prove it by indu

- -

$$
\therefore \int T(n) \le \max_{j \in [n]} \{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn\}
$$

$$
\left( T(n) \in \Theta(n^2) \right)
$$

- Guess  $T(r) \leq c_1 r^2$  and prove it by induction.
- 

# A more formal proof A more formal proof<br>• Suppose **pivot** is the *j*th smallest element.<br>•  $T(n) = T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn$

- -

• Goal: 
$$
T(n)
$$

$$
\leq \max_{j\in[n]}\{T(j-1)+T(n-j)+cn\}
$$

$$
\left( T(n) \in \Theta(n^2) \right)
$$

Guess  $T(r) \leq c_1 r^2$  and prove it by induction.

• **Base case:** 
$$
T(0) = 0
$$
.

more formal proof

\nuppose pivot is the *j*th smallest element.

\n• 
$$
T(n) = T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn
$$

\nioal: 
$$
T(n) \leq \max_{j \in [n]} \{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn\}
$$
 > 
$$
T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)
$$

\n• 
$$
Guess T(r) \leq c_1 r^2
$$
 and prove it by induction.

\n• **Base case:** 
$$
T(0) = 0.
$$

\n• **Inductive step:** 
$$
(n \geq 1)
$$

\n
$$
T(n) \leq \max_{j \in [n]} \{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn\}
$$

\n≤ 
$$
\max_{j \in [n]} \{c_1(j^2 - 2j + 1 + n^2 - 2nj + j^2) + cn\}
$$

\n= 
$$
\max_{j \in [n]} \{c_1(n^2 + 1 - 2j(n + 1 - j)) + cn\}
$$

# A more formal proof A more formal proof<br>• Suppose **pivot** is the *j*th smallest element.<br>•  $T(n) = T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn$

- -

• Goal: 
$$
T(n)
$$

$$
\leq \max_{j\in[n]} \{T(j-1)+T(n-j)+cn\}
$$

$$
\left( T(n) \in \Theta(n^2) \right)
$$

- Guess  $T(r) \leq c_1 r^2$  and prove it by induction.<br>**Base case:**  $T(0) = 0$ .
- 

more formal proof

\nSuppose pivot is the *j*th smallest element.

\n• 
$$
T(n) = T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn
$$

\noal:  $\boxed{T(n) \leq \max_{j \in [n]} \{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn\}}$  >  $\boxed{T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)}$ 

\n•  $\frac{G \text{uess } T(r) \leq c_1 r^2 \text{ and prove it by induction.}$ 

\n• **Base case:**  $T(0) = 0$ .

\n• Inductive step:  $(n \geq 1)$ 

\n•  $\max_{j \in [n]} \{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn\}$ 

\n≤  $\max_{j \in [n]} \{c_1(i^2 - 2j + 1 + n^2 - 2nj + j^2) + cn\}$ 

\n≤  $\max_{j \in [n]} \{c_1(n^2 + 1 - 2j(n + 1 - j)) + cn\}$ 

\n≤  $c_1(n^2 - 2n + 1) + cn = c_1n^2 + cn - c_1(2n - 1) \leq \cdots$ 

\n2j(n+1-j) is smallest when  $j = 1$  or  $j = n$ .

# A more formal proof A more formal proof<br>• Suppose **pivot** is the *j*th smallest element.<br>•  $T(n) = T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn$

- -

• **Goal:** 
$$
T(n) \leq \max_{j \in [n]} \{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn\}
$$

$$
\boxed{T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)}
$$

NOTE	Formal proof
\n $r(n) = T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn$ \n	
\n $r(n) = \max\{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn$ \n	
\n $r(n) \leq \max_{j \in [n]} \{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn\}$ \n	
\n $r(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$ \n	
\n $r(n) \leq c_1 r^2$ and prove it by induction.\n	
\n $r(n) \leq \max_{j \in [n]} \{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn\}$ \n	
\n $r(n) \leq \max_{j \in [n]} \{T(j-1) + T(n-j) + cn\}$ \n	
\n $r(n) \leq \max_{j \in [n]} \{r_1(j^2 - 2j + 1 + n^2 - 2nj + j^2) + cn\}$ \n	
\n $r(n) \leq \max_{j \in [n]} \{r_1(j^2 - 2j + 1 - 2j(n + 1 - j)) + cn\}$ \n	
\n $r(n) \leq r_1(n^2 + 1 - 2j(n + 1 - j)) + cn$ \n	
\n $r(n) \leq c_1(n^2 - 2n + 1) + cn = c_1n^2 + cn - c_1(2n - 1)$ \n	
\n $r(n) \leq c_1(n^2 - 2n + 1) + cn = c_1n^2 + cn - c_1(2n - 1)$ \n	

- The worst-case bound  $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$  does not capture the typical performance of quick sort.
- Next: average-case analysis.

- The worst-case bound  $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$  does not capture the typical performance of quick sort.
- Next: average-case analysis.
- Assume all numbers are distinct.
- Let  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$  be the input numbers in the sorted order.
- Fixing  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ , the input array A can be described by a **permutation**  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ .

- The worst-case bound  $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$  does not capture the typical performance of quick sort.
- Next: average-case analysis.
- Assume all numbers are distinct.
- Let  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$  be the input numbers in the sorted order.
- Fixing  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ , the input array A can be described by a **permutation**  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ . ie worst-case bound  $T(n) ∈ Θ(n<sup>2</sup>)$  does not capture the typical performance of quick sort.<br> **ext:** average-case analysis.<br>
sume all numbers are distinct.<br>
t  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$  be the input numbers in the sorted order.<br>
- The execution of the quick sort algorithm:
	- It depends only on  $\pi$ .

• The average-case running time  $A(n)$  is the average running time over all inputs of size n.

Average-case running time *A*(*n*) is the average running time over all inputs of size *n*.  
\nThere are *n*! permutations of 
$$
(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)
$$
.  
\n
$$
A(n) = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \cdot (\text{running time of quick sort on } \pi)
$$
\nThe summation is over all permutations  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ .  
\nThe execution is over all permutations  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ .

The execution of the quick sort algorithm:

- It depends only on  $\pi$ .
- It is independent of the actual values of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ .

**Observation:**  $A(n)$  is also the expected running time when the permutation  $\pi$  is chosen uniformly at random.

• The average-case running time  $A(n)$  is the average running time over all inputs of size n.

\n- The average-case running time 
$$
A(n)
$$
 is the average running time over all inputs of size *n*.
\n- Each permutation is chosen with a probability of  $\frac{1}{n!}$ .
\n- $$
A(n) = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \text{(running time of quick sort on } \pi)
$$
\n- The summation is over all permutations  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ .
\n- The execution of the quick sort algorithm:
\n

The execution of the quick sort algorithm:

- It depends only on  $\pi$ .
- It is independent of the actual values of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ .

# Uniformity |:

If **pivot**  $= a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows: **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as foll<br>
:  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>
:  $a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random. **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows<br>  $:a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>  $:a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random.

- $A_S: a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{j-1}$
- $A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$

Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random. Uniformity<br>  $\begin{array}{l}\n\text{If pivot} = a_j \text{, then the element} \\
\cdot \quad A_S: a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1} \\
\cdot \quad A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \dots, a_n\n\end{array}$ <br>
Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is uniform<br> **Observation 1:** The **pivot** is selected uniformly at ran

Observation 1: The pivot is selected uniformly at random.

 $\mathbf 1$  $n$  and  $n$  a .

# Uniformity |:

If **pivot**  $= a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows: **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as foll<br>
:  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>
:  $a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random. **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows<br>  $:a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>  $:a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random.

- $A_S: a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$
- $A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$

Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random. Uniformity<br>  $\begin{array}{c}\n\text{If pivot} = a_j \text{, then the element} \\
\cdot \quad A_S: a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1} \\
\cdot \quad A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \dots, a_n\n\end{array}$ <br>
Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is uniform<br> **Observation 1:** The **pivot** is selected uniformly at ran

Observation 1: The pivot is selected uniformly at random.

• 
$$
\forall (j \in [n])
$$
,  $\Pr[\text{pivot} = a_j] = \frac{1}{n}$ .

#### Reason:

- The pivot is selected as the first element: pivot  $\leftarrow A[1]$ .
- If  $\pi$  is uniformly random, then each element has equal chance to be the first element.

If **pivot**  $= a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows: **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as foll<br>
:  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>
:  $a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random. **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows<br>  $:a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>  $:a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random.

- $A_S: a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$
- $A_L: a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, ..., a_n$

Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random. Uniformity<br>  $\begin{array}{l} \text{If pivot} = a_j \text{, then the element} \\ \cdot & A_S: a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1} \\ \cdot & A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \dots, a_n \end{array}$ <br>
Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is uniform<br> **Observation 1:** The **pivot** is selected uniformly at random.

Observation 1: The pivot is selected uniformly at random.

 $\mathbf 1$  $n$  and  $n$  a .

Observation 2: The permutations for both recursive calls are also uniformly random.

- Recursive call on  $A_S$ : Each permutation of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1})$  appears with equal probability. **Uniformity**<br> **Comprise the input permutation**  $\pi$  **of**  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-1})$  **is uniformly random.**<br> **Conservation 1:** The pivot is selected uniformly at random.<br>
•  $\forall (j \in [n])$ ,  $\Pr[\text{pivot} = a_j] = \frac{1}{n}$ .<br> **Observation 2:** The perm
- :  $\begin{cases} \cdot & A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n \end{cases}$ <br>ermutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random.<br>  $\pi$ is selected uniformly at random.<br>  $\pi = a_j$ ] =  $\frac{1}{n}$ .<br>
permutations for both recursive calls are also uniformly random.<br>  $\$

If **pivot**  $= a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows: **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as foll<br>
:  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>
:  $a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random. **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows<br>  $:a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>  $:a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random.

- $A_S: a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$
- $A_L: a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \ldots, a_n$

Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random. Uniformity<br>  $\begin{array}{l} \text{If pivot} = a_j \text{, then the element} \\ \cdot & A_S: a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1} \\ \cdot & A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \dots, a_n \end{array}$ <br>
Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is uniform<br> **Observation 1:** The **pivot** is selected uniformly at random.

**Observation 1:** The **pivot** is selected uniformly at random.

 $\mathbf 1$  $n$  and  $n$  a .

Observation 2: The permutations for both recursive calls are also uniformly random.

- Recursive call on  $A_s$ : Each permutation of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1})$  appears with equal probability.
- **Uniformity**<br> **Comprise the input permutation**  $\pi$  **of**  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-1})$  **is uniformly random.**<br> **Conservation 1:** The pivot is selected uniformly at random.<br>
  $\forall (j \in [n])$ ,  $\Pr[\text{pivot} = a_j] = \frac{1}{n}$ .<br> **Observation 2:** The perm

#### Reason:

:  $\begin{cases}\n\cdot & A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_1 - 1 \\
\cdot & A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n\n\end{cases}$ <br>
ermutation π of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random.<br>  $\text{pivot is selected uniformly at random.}$ <br>  $\text{in } a_j$ ] =  $\frac{1}{n}$ .<br>
permutations for both recursive calls are also uniformly rando • If pivot =  $a_j$ , then the partition algorithm never compares any two elements in  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1})$ . utation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random.<br>
t is selected uniformly at random.<br>  $\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{r}_j &= \frac{1}{n}.\n\end{aligned}$ <br>
mutations for both recursive calls are also uniformly random.<br>
h permutation of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1})$  ap

If **pivot**  $= a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows: **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as foll<br>
:  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>
:  $a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random. **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows<br>  $:a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>  $:a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random.

- $A_S: a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$
- $A_L: a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, ..., a_n$

Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random. Uniformity<br>  $\begin{array}{l} \text{If pivot} = a_j \text{, then the element} \\ \cdot & A_S: a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1} \\ \cdot & A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \dots, a_n \end{array}$ <br>
Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is uniform<br> **Observation 1:** The **pivot** is selected uniformly at random.

Observation 1: The pivot is selected uniformly at random.

 $\mathbf 1$  $n$  and  $n$  a .

Observation 2: The permutations for both recursive calls are also uniformly random.

- Recursive call on  $A_s$ : Each permutation of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1})$  appears with equal probability.
- **Uniformity**<br> **Comprise the input permutation**  $\pi$  **of**  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-1})$  **is uniformly random.**<br> **Conservation 1:** The pivot is selected uniformly at random.<br>
  $\forall (j \in [n])$ ,  $\Pr[\text{pivot} = a_j] = \frac{1}{n}$ .<br> **Observation 2:** The perm :  $\begin{cases}\n\cdot & A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_1 - 1 \\
\cdot & A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n\n\end{cases}$ <br>
ermutation π of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random.<br>  $\text{pivot is selected uniformly at random.}$ <br>  $\text{in } a_j$ ] =  $\frac{1}{n}$ .<br>
permutations for both recursive calls are also uniformly rando Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random.<br>  $\cdot \forall (j \in [n]), \Pr[\text{pivot} = a_j] = \frac{1}{n}$ .<br> **Observation 2:** The permutations for both recursive calls are also uniformly random.<br>
• Recursive call on  $A_S$ • Recursive call on  $A_L$ : Each permutation of  $(a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n)$  appears with equal probability.  $\longleftarrow$  Similar

#### Reason:

• If pivot =  $a_j$ , then the partition algorithm never compares any two elements in  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1})$ .

to  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{i-1})$  is uniformly random.

realls are also uniformly random.<br>  $(a_{j-1})$  appears with equal probability.<br>  $\dots, a_n$  appears with equal probability.  $\longleftarrow$  Similar<br>
never compares any two elements in  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1})$ .<br>
At the end, the permutation of  $(a$ is still uniformly random.

• Suppose  $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is a uniformly random permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$ . formly random permutation of (1, 2, 3).<br>  $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ <br>
Swap  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  if  $x_2 > x_3$ .<br>
Not uniformly random:<br>
• (1, 2, 3)  $\rightarrow$  (1, 2, 3)

 $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ Swap  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ .<br>Still uniformly random:

- $(1, 2, 3) \rightarrow (1, 3, 2)$
- $(1,3,2) \rightarrow (1,2,3)$
- $(2, 1, 3) \rightarrow (2, 3, 1)$
- $(2,3,1) \rightarrow (2,1,3)$
- $(3,1,2) \rightarrow (3,2,1)$
- $(3,2,1) \rightarrow (3,1,2)$

No comparison is made. A comparison is made.

$$
X = (x_1, x_2, x_3)
$$

- 
- $(1,3,2) \rightarrow (1,2,3)$
- $(2, 1, 3) \rightarrow (2, 1, 3)$
- $(2,3,1) \rightarrow (2,1,3)$
- $(3,1,2) \rightarrow (3,1,2)$
- $(3,2,1) \rightarrow (3,1,2)$

#### Recurrence

If **pivot** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are<br> **a**<sub>S</sub>:  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , ...,  $a_{j-1}$ <br> **a**  $A_L$ :  $a_{j+1}$ ,  $a_{j+2}$ , ...,  $a_n$ If **pivot** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows: **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follo<br>
:  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>
:  $a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random. **t** =  $a_j$ , then the elements in the two recursive calls are as follows:<br>  $:a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$ <br>  $:a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$ <br>
,  $a_2, ..., a_n$ ) is uniformly random.

- $A_S: a_1, a_2, ..., a_{j-1}$
- $A_L: a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, ..., a_n$

Suppose the input permutation  $\pi$  of  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is uniformly random.

**Observation 1:** The **pivot** is selected uniformly at random.

Observation 2: The permutations for both recursive calls are also uniformly random.



Conditioning on **pivot** =  $a_j$ , the expected running time of the two recursive calls.

$$
A(n) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ A(j-1) + A(n-j) + cn \right] = cn + \frac{2}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A(j)
$$

Solving the recurrence  
\n
$$
A(n) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} [A(j-1) + A(n-j) + cn] = cn + \frac{2}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A(j)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{n \cdot A(n) = cn^{2} + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A(j)}{(n-1) \cdot A(n-1) = c(n-1)^{2} + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} A(j)}
$$

Solving the recurrence  
\n
$$
A(n) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} [A(j-1) + A(n-j) + cn] = cn + \frac{2}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A(j)
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \frac{n \cdot A(n) = cn^{2} + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A(j)}{(n-1) \cdot A(n-1) = c(n-1)^{2} + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} A(j)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \frac{n \cdot A(n) - (n-1) \cdot A(n-1) = c(2n-1) + 2A(n-1)}
$$

Solving the recurrence  
\n
$$
A(n) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} [A(j-1) + A(n-j) + cn] = cn + \frac{2}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A(j)
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \frac{n \cdot A(n) = cn^{2} + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A(j)}{(n-1) \cdot A(n-1) = c(n-1)^{2} + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} A(j)}
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \frac{n \cdot A(n) - (n-1) \cdot A(n-1) = c(2n-1) + 2A(n-1)}{n \cdot A(n) - (n+1) \cdot A(n-1) = (n \cdot A(n) - (n-1) \cdot A(n-1)) - 2A(n-1) = c(2n-1)}
$$

Solving the recurrence  
\n
$$
A(n) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} [A(j-1) + A(n-j) + cn] = cn + \frac{2}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A(j)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{n \cdot A(n) = cn^2 + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A(j)}{(n-1) \cdot A(n-1) = c(n-1)^2 + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} A(j)}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{n \cdot A(n) - (n-1) \cdot A(n-1) = c(2n-1) + 2A(n-1)}{n \cdot A(n) - (n+1) \cdot A(n-1) = (n \cdot A(n) - (n-1) \cdot A(n-1)) - 2A(n-1) = c(2n-1)}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\text{Dividing by } n(n+1)}{n+1} = \frac{c(2n-1)}{n(n+1)} < \frac{c(2n+2)}{n(n+1)} = \frac{2c}{n}
$$

$$
O(\log n) \qquad O(1)
$$
  

$$
\frac{A(n)}{n+1} < 2c \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1}{n-2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{A(1)}{2}
$$

 $A(n) \in O(n \log n)$ 

\n $0(\log n)$ \n	\n $\frac{A(n)}{n+1} - \frac{A(n-1)}{n} < \frac{2c}{n}$ \n	
\n $0(\log n)$ \n	\n $0(1)$ \n	\n $\frac{A(n-1)}{n} - \frac{A(n-2)}{n-1} < \frac{2c}{n-1}$ \n
\n $+\frac{1}{n-2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}$ \n	\n $\frac{A(1)}{2}$ \n	\n $\frac{A(n-2)}{n-1} - \frac{A(n-3)}{n-2} < \frac{2c}{n-2}$ \n
\n $\frac{A(2)}{3} - \frac{A(1)}{2} < \frac{2c}{2}$ \n		

# Question 3 @ VisuAlgo online quiz<br>Who is the **Master of Algorithms** pictured below?

#### Who is the **Master of Algorithms** pictured below?

- Tony Hoare
- John Hopcroft
- Ronald Rivest
- Andrew Yao



### Desirable properties of sorting algorithms

- Small running time:
	- Worst case.
	- Average case.
- Comparison-based algorithms.
- What else?

#### Stable sorting

- Stable sorting algorithm:
	- For elements of equal values, the original ordering is preserved.
	- If  $A[i] = A[j]$  and  $i < j$ , then  $A[i]$  must be before  $A[j]$  in the output.

#### Stable sorting

- Stable sorting algorithm:
	- For elements of equal values, the original ordering is preserved.
	- If  $A[i] = A[i]$  and  $i < j$ , then  $A[i]$  must be before  $A[i]$  in the output.
		- Insertion sort is stable.
		- Merge sort is stable if implemented properly.
		- Most of the implementations of quick sort are not stable.

#### In-place sorting

• A sorting algorithm is in-place if it uses very little extra memory besides the input array.

#### In-place sorting

- A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses very little extra memory besides the input array. Figure 11 The extrament or properly and the mory of the morplemented properly sorted first.<br>After partitioning, the sub-array with the fewer elements is recursively sorted first.
	- Insertion sort uses only  $O(1)$  extra memory.
	- Merge sort uses  $O(n)$  extra memory.
	- Quicksort uses  $O(\log n)$  extra memory if implemented properly.

After partitioning, the sub-array with the

# Desirable properties of sorting algorithms **Desirable properties of sortin**<br>
• Small running time:<br>
• Worst case.<br>
• Additional desirable properties:<br>
• Comparison-based.<br>
• Stable.

- Small running time:
	- Worst case.
	- Average case.
- - Comparison-based.
	- Stable.
	-

• Stable.  $\begin{bmatrix} \Box & \Box & \Box \end{bmatrix}$  They are highly dependent on the specific way the algorithm is implemented.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sorting\_algorithm#Comparison\_of\_algorithms

## Acknowledgement

• The slides are modified from previous editions of this course and similar course elsewhere. **Example Change School**<br> **Example 2014**<br> **Example School Schools Change Schools Schools Schools Schools Schools<br>
<b>Example Schools Change - Arnab Bhattacharya**<br>
• Arnab Bhattacharya<br>
• Diptarka Chakraborty<br>
• Yi-Jun Chang<br>

#### • List of credits:

- Surender Baswana
- Arnab Bhattacharya
- 
- Yi-Jun Chang
- Erik Demaine
- Steven Halim
- Sanjay Jain
- Wee Sun Lee
- Charles Leiserson
- Hon Wai Leong
- Wing-Kin Sung