CS3230 – Design and Analysis of Algorithms (S1 AY2024/25)

Lecture 3a: Proof of Correctness

Correctness of an algorithm

- Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.
 - Iterative algorithms.
 - Recursive algorithms.

Fib(*n*)

- If $n \leq 1$, return n.
- Else, return Fib(n-1) + Fib(n-2).



- If $n \leq 1$
 - return *n*
- Else,
 - prev2 = 0
 - prev1 = 1
 - for i = 2 to n
 - temp = prev1
 - prev1 = prev1+prev2
 - prev2 = temp
 - return prev1

While (some condition is met)
 Do { some work }

Iterative algorithms

- For (*i* = 1, 2, ... up to *i* = *n*)
 Do { some work }
- Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.
- Analysis of an *iterative algorithm*:
 - Loop invariant:
 - Some desirable conditions that should be satisfied at the start of each iteration.

While (some condition is met)
 Do { some work }

Iterative algorithms

- For (*i* = 1, 2, ... up to *i* = *n*)
 Do { some work }
- Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.
- Analysis of an *iterative algorithm*:
 - Loop invariant:
 - Some desirable conditions that should be satisfied at the start of each iteration.
 - Initialization:
 - The loop invariant is true at the start of the first iteration.

While (some condition is met)
• Do { some work }

Iterative algorithms

- For (*i* = 1, 2, ... up to *i* = *n*)
 Do { some work }
- Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.
- Analysis of an *iterative algorithm*:
 - Loop invariant:
 - Some desirable conditions that should be satisfied at the start of each iteration.
 - Initialization:
 - The loop invariant is true at the start of the first iteration.
 - Maintenance:
 - If the loop invariant is satisfied at the start of the current iteration, then the loop invariant must be satisfied at the start of the next iteration.

Equivalently, the end of the current iteration.

While (some condition is met)
• Do { some work }

Iterative algorithms

- For (*i* = 1, 2, ... up to *i* = *n*)
 Do { some work }
- Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.
- Analysis of an *iterative algorithm*:
 - Loop invariant:
 - Some desirable conditions that should be satisfied at the start of each iteration.
 - Initialization:
 - The loop invariant is true at the start of the first iteration.
 - Maintenance:
 - If the loop invariant is satisfied at the start of the current iteration, then the loop invariant must be satisfied at the start of the next iteration.
 - Termination:
 - Loop invariant at the end of the last iteration \rightarrow The algorithm outputs a correct answer.
- Equivalently, the end of the current iteration.

While (some condition is met)
• Do { some work }

Iterative algorithms

- For (*i* = 1, 2, ... up to *i* = *n*)
 Do { some work }
- Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.
- Analysis of an *iterative algorithm*:
 - Loop invariant: Induction hypothesis
 - Some desirable conditions that should be satisfied at the start of each iteration.
 - Initialization: Base case
 - The loop invariant is true at the start of the first iteration.
 - Maintenance: Inductive step
 - If the loop invariant is satisfied at the start of the current iteration, then the loop invariant must be satisfied at the start of the next iteration.
 - **Termination**: The proof by induction implies the correctness of the algorithm
 - Loop invariant at the end of the last iteration → The algorithm outputs a correct answer.

• **Goal:** For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.

IFib(n)

- If $n \leq 1$
 - return *n*
- Else,
 - prev2 = 0
 - prev1 = 1
 - for i = 2 to n
 - temp = prev1
 - prev1 = prev1+prev2
 - prev2 = temp
 - return prev1

If $n \leq 1$, then the algorithm is correct.

- **Fib**(0) = 0
- **Fib**(1) = 1

• Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.

IFib(n)

- If $n \leq 1$
 - return *n*
- Else,
 - prev2 = 0
 - prev1 = 1
 - for i = 2 to n
 - temp = prev1
 - prev1 = prev1+prev2
 - prev2 = temp
 - return prev1

Now, consider the case of $n \ge 2$.

Loop invariant:

- At the start of iteration *i*,
 - prev2 = **Fib**(i 2)
 - prev1 = **Fib**(i 1)

• Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.

IFib(n)

- If $n \leq 1$
 - return *n*
- Else,
 - prev2 = 0
 - prev1 = 1
 - for i = 2 to n
 - temp = prev1
 - prev1 = prev1+prev2
 - prev2 = temp
 - return prev1

Now, consider the case of $n \ge 2$.

Loop invariant:

- At the start of iteration *i*,
 - prev2 = **Fib**(i 2)
 - prev1 = **Fib**(i 1)

Initialization:

- At the start of iteration i = 2,
 - prev2 = Fib(0) = 0
 - prev1 = Fib(1) = 1

• Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.

2`

IFib(*n*)

- If $n \leq 1$
 - return *n*
- Else,
 - prev2 = 0
 - prev1 = 1

• for
$$i = 2$$
 to n
Fib $(i-1)$ • temp = prev1
Fib (i) • prev1 = prev1 + Fib $(i-1)$
Fib $(i-1)$ • prev2 = temp

- (i-1) prev2 = temp
 - return prev1

Now, consider the case of $n \ge 2$.

Loop invariant:

- At the start of iteration *i*,
 - prev2 = **Fib**(i 2)
 - prev1 = **Fib**(i 1)

Initialization:

- At the start of iteration i = 2,
 - prev2 = Fib(0) = 0
 - prev1 = Fib(1) = 1

Maintenance:

- Suppose at the start of iteration *i*,
 - prev2 = **Fib**(i 2)
 - prev1 = **Fib**(i 1)
- Then at the end of the iteration,

• prev2 =
$$Fib(i - 1)$$

• prev1 = Fib(i)

• **Goal:** For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.

IFib(n)

- If $n \leq 1$
 - return *n*
- Else,
 - prev2 = 0
 - prev1 = 1
 - for i = 2 to n
 - temp = prev1
 - prev1 = prev1+prev2
 - prev2 = temp
 - return prev1

Now, consider the case of $n \ge 2$.

Loop invariant:

- At the start of iteration *i*,
 - prev2 = **Fib**(i 2)
 - prev1 = **Fib**(i 1)

Initialization:

- At the start of iteration i = 2,
 - prev2 = Fib(0) = 0
 - prev1 = Fib(1) = 1

Maintenance:

- Suppose at the start of iteration *i*,
 - prev2 = **Fib**(i 2)
 - prev1 = **Fib** $(i 1)_{i = n}$
- Then at the end of the iteration,
 - prev2 = **Fib**(i 1)
 - prev1 = Fib(i)

Termination:

• The algorithm returns **Fib**(*n*).

Question 1 @ VisuAlgo online quiz

- What is a suitable loop invariant to analyze this sorting algorithm? at the start of iteration j
 - *A* is sorted.
 - A[1..j-1] is sorted.
 - $x \le y$ for all $x \in A[1..j-1]$ and $y \in A[j..n]$.
 - $(A[1..j-1] \text{ is sorted}) \text{ and } (x \leq y \text{ for all } x \in A[1..j-1] \text{ and } y \in A[j..n]).$

SelectionSort(*A*[1..*n*])

- For j = 1 to n 1
 - Select $s \in \{j, j + 1, ..., n\}$ so that A[s] is a smallest number in A[j..n].
 - Swap A[j] and A[s].

VisuAlgo (Selection sort): <u>https://visualgo.net/en/sorting?mode=Selection</u>

Weighted directed graphs

- Let G = (V, E) be a <u>directed</u> graph.
- Each edge $e \in E$ has a <u>positive weight</u> w(e).
 - If $(u, v) \in E$, then w(u, v) = w(e).
 - If $(u, v) \notin E$, then $w(u, v) = \infty$.



Single-source shortest paths

- Let G = (V, E) be a <u>directed</u> graph.
- Each edge $e \in E$ has a <u>positive weight</u> w(e).
 - If $(u, v) \in E$, then w(u, v) = w(e).
 - If $(u, v) \notin E$, then $w(u, v) = \infty$.
- **Goal:** Given a source *s* ∈ *V*, compute the shortest-path distance from *s* to all vertices.



Dijkstra's algorithm

Dijkstra($G = (V, E), s \in V$)

- d(s) = 0
- $R = \{s\}$
- While $R \neq V$
 - Select $v \in V \setminus R$ to minimize $\min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$
 - $d(v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$
 - Add v to R



dist(s,s) = 0 dist(s,u) = 1 dist(s,v) = 2dist(s,w) = 3



S

S

dist(s, s) = 0dist(s, u) = 1dist(s, v) = 2dist(s, w) = 3





VisuAlgo (Dijkstra): <u>https://visualgo.net/en/sssp?slide=7</u>

- At the start of an iteration:
 - $\forall u \in R, d(u) = \operatorname{dist}(s, u)$

The computed distances are correct.

Proof of correctness







- At the start of an iteration:
 - $\forall u \in R, d(u) = \operatorname{dist}(s, u)$

The computed distances are correct.

Proof of correctness

Dijkstra($G = (V, E), s \in V$)

- d(s) = 0
- $R = \{s\}$
- While $R \neq V$
 - Select $v \in V \setminus R$ to minimize $\min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$
 - $d(v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$
 - Add v to R

 \checkmark Initialization

Maintenance

✓ Termination

At the end of the computation, R = V

- At the start of an iteration:
 - $\forall u \in R, d(u) = \operatorname{dist}(s, u)$

The computed distances are correct.

Proof of correctness

Dijkstra($G = (V, E), s \in V$)

- d(s) = 0
- $R = \{s\}$
- While $R \neq V$
 - Select $v \in V \setminus R$ to minimize $\min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$

•
$$d(v) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{R}} (d(u) + w(u, v))$$

• Add
$$v$$
 to R

Claim: For the selected vertex v: • $dist(s, v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$





- At the start of an iteration:
 - $\forall u \in R, d(u) = \operatorname{dist}(s, u)$

The computed distances are correct.

Proof of correctness

Dijkstra($G = (V, E), s \in V$)

• d(s) = 0

•
$$R = \{s\}$$

- While $R \neq V$
 - Select $v \in V \setminus R$ to minimize $\min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$

•
$$d(v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$$

Claim: For the selected vertex v: • $dist(s, v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$



```
✓ Termination
```



- At the start of an iteration:
 - $\forall u \in R, d(u) = \operatorname{dist}(s, u)$

The computed distances are correct.

Proof of correctness

Dijkstra($G = (V, E), s \in V$)

• d(s) = 0

•
$$R = \{s\}$$

- While $R \neq V$
 - Select $v \in V \setminus R$ to minimize $\min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$

•
$$d(v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$$





Maintenance

✓ Termination





- At the start of an iteration:
 - $\forall u \in R, d(u) = \mathbf{dist}(s, u)$

The computed distances are correct.

Proof of correctness

 $\mathbf{Dijkstra}(G = (V, E), s \in V)$

- d(s) = 0
- $R = \{s\}$
- While $R \neq V$
 - Select $v \in V \setminus R$ to minimize $\min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$

•
$$d(v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$$

• Add
$$v$$
 to R

Claim: For the selected vertex v: \checkmark **dist** $(s, v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$



Question 2 @ VisuAlgo online quiz

Who is the **Master of Algorithms** pictured below?

- Stephen Cook
- Edsger Dijkstra
- Robert Tarjan
- Avi Wigderson



Dijkstra($G = (V, E), s \in V$)

- d(s) = 0
- $R = \{s\}$
- While $R \neq V$
 - Select $v \in V \setminus R$ to minimize $\min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$
 - $d(v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$
 - Add v to R

Dijkstra($G = (V, E), s \in V$)

• d(s) = 0

•
$$R = \{s\}$$

- While $R \neq V$
 - Select $v \in V \setminus R$ to minimize $\min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v)) \leftarrow$

•
$$d(v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$$

• Add
$$v$$
 to R





Dijkstra($G = (V, E), s \in V$)

- d(s) = 0
- $R = \{s\}$
- While $R \neq V$
 - Select $v \in V \setminus R$ to minimize $\min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v)) \in$
 - $d(v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$
 - Add v to R

 $\mathbf{Dijkstra}(G = (V, E), s \in V)$

- d(s) = 0
- $x(v) = \infty$ for all $v \in V \setminus \{s\}$
- $R = \{s\}$
- For all $z \in V$ such that $(s, z) \in E$
 - $x(z) = \min\{x(z), d(s) + w(s, z)\}$
- While $R \neq V$
 - Select $v \in V \setminus R$ to minimize x(v)
 - d(v) = x(v)
 - Add v to R
 - For all $z \in V \setminus R$ such that $(v, z) \in E$

• $x(z) = \min\{x(z), d(v) + w(v, z)\}$

Observation: No need to compute $x(v) = \min_{u \in R} (d(u) + w(u, v))$ from scratch for every iteration.



• The algorithm can be implemented using a priority queue.



• The algorithm can be implemented using a priority queue.

	Insert	Extract-min	Decrease-key	Time complexity of Dijkstra's algorithm
Binary heap	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O\big((E + V)\log V \big)$
Fibonacci heap	0(1)	$O(\log n)$ amortized	O(1) amortized	$O(E + V \log V)$

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Priority_queue

Amortized = average over n operations.



Recursive algorithms

Fib(*n*)

- If $n \leq 1$, return n.
- Else, return Fib(n-1) + Fib(n-2).
- Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.
- Analysis of a <u>recursive algorithm</u>:
 - Base case: without any recursive calls
 - Show that the algorithm is correct for the base case.
 - Inductive step: with recursive calls
 - Assume that the algorithm is correct for any input of size smaller than *n*.
 - Show that the algorithm is correct for any input of size *n*.

Recursive algorithms

Fib(*n*)

- If $n \leq 1$, return n.
- Else, return Fib(n-1) + Fib(n-2).
- Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.
- Analysis of a <u>recursive algorithm</u>:
 - Base case:

If $n \leq 1$, the algorithm is correct:

- Fib(0) = 0
- Fib(1) = 1
- Show that the algorithm is correct for the base case.
- Inductive step:
 - Assume that the algorithm is correct for any input of size smaller than *n*.
 - Show that the algorithm is correct for any input of size *n*.

Recursive algorithms

Fib(n)

- If $n \leq 1$, return n.
- Else, return Fib(n-1) + Fib(n-2).
- Goal: For a given algorithm \mathcal{A} , prove that it is correct.
- Analysis of a <u>recursive algorithm</u>:
 - Base case:

If $n \leq 1$, the algorithm is correct:

- **Fib**(0) = 0
- Fib(1) = 1
- Show that the algorithm is correct for the base case.
- Inductive step:
 - Assume that the algorithm is correct for any input of size smaller than *n*.
 - Show that the algorithm is correct for any input of size *n*.

If n > 1, the algorithm is correct: • Fib(n) =Fib(n - 1) +Fib(n - 2)

Searching in a sorted array

• Input:

- A <u>sorted</u> array *A*.
- Two indices:
 - lb (lower bound)
 - ub (upper bound)
- A number *x*.
- Goal:
 - Decide if $x \in A[lb..ub]$

If (lb > ub), the answer is **NO**.

Searching in a sorted array

• Input:

- A <u>sorted</u> array *A*.
- Two indices:
 - lb (lower bound)
 - ub (upper bound)
- A number *x*.

BinarySearch(*A*, lb, ub, *x*)

- If (lb > ub), return NO.
- Else
 - mid $\leftarrow \left| \frac{lb+ub}{2} \right|$.
 - If (x = A[mid]), return YES.
 - If (x > A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, mid + 1, ub, x).
 - If (x < A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, lb, mid 1, x).

- Goal:
 - Decide if $x \in A[lb..ub]$

Searching in a sorted array

x = 14



- **BinarySearch**(A, lb, ub, x)
- If (lb > ub), return **NO**.
- Else

• mid
$$\leftarrow \left| \frac{lb+ub}{2} \right|$$
.

- If (x = A[mid]), return **YES**.
- If (x > A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, mid + 1, ub, x).
- If (x < A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, lb, mid 1, x).

Proof of correctness

• Induction on the array size:

• n = ub - lb + 1

BinarySearch(*A*, lb, ub, *x*)

- **If** (lb > ub), return **NO**.
- Else

• mid
$$\leftarrow \left| \frac{lb+ub}{2} \right|$$
.

- If (x = A[mid]), return YES.
- If (x > A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, mid + 1, ub, x).
- If (x < A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, lb, mid 1, x).

Proof of correctness

- Induction on the array size:
 - n = ub lb + 1
- Base case:
 - If n < 1, the algorithm correctly returns NO.



- If (lb > ub), return NO.
- Else

• mid
$$\leftarrow \left| \frac{lb+ub}{2} \right|$$
.

- If (x = A[mid]), return **YES**.
- If (x > A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, mid + 1, ub, x).
- If (x < A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, lb, mid 1, x).

Proof of correctness

- Induction on the array size:
 - n = ub lb + 1
- Inductive step:
 - Assume the algorithm works correctly for any input of size smaller than *n*.

BinarySearch(*A*, lb, ub, *x*)

- If (lb > ub), return **NO**.
- Else
 - mid $\leftarrow \left| \frac{lb+ub}{2} \right|$.
 - If (x = A[mid]), return YES.
 - If (x > A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, mid + 1, ub, x).
 - If (x < A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, lb, mid 1, x).

- If (x = A[mid]), the answer must be **YES**.
- If (x > A[mid]), then:
- $(x \in A[lb..ub])$ if and only if $(x \in A[mid + 1..ub])$. A is sorted
- Therefore, the answer must be **BinarySearch**(A, mid + 1, ub, x). Induction hypothesis

The case of (x < A[mid]) is similar.

- Input size:
 - n = ub lb + 1
- Subproblem input size: • $\leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$
- Depth of recursion:
 - $O(\log n)$
- Time complexity:
 - $T(n) \in O(\log n)$

BinarySearch(*A*, lb, ub, *x*)

- If (lb > ub), return NO.
- Else
 - mid $\leftarrow \left| \frac{lb+ub}{2} \right|$.
 - If (x = A[mid]), return YES.
 - If (x > A[mid]), **BinarySearch**(A, mid + 1, ub, x).
 - If (x < A[mid]), BinarySearch(A, lb, mid 1, x).

Acknowledgement

• The slides are modified from previous editions of this course and similar course elsewhere.

• List of credits:

- Diptarka Chakraborty
- Yi-Jun Chang
- Erik Demaine
- Steven Halim
- Sanjay Jain
- Wee Sun Lee
- Charles Leiserson
- Hon Wai Leong
- Wing-Kin Sung